

REMARKS

The present application was filed on September 26, 2003 with claims 1 through  
25 Claims 1, 2, 4-14, and 16-25 are presently pending in the above-identified patent application

In the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 1, 2, 4-14 and 16-25 under 35  
5 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Richman (United States Publication No. 2004/0266363)

Independent Claims 1, 13 and 25

Independent claims 1, 13, and 25 were rejected 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being  
anticipated by Richman. Independent claims 1, 13, and 25 are directed towards wireless  
communications between an integrated circuit device and *a monitoring station*. Richman,  
10 however, teaches communications between two integrated circuits within an enclosure. There is  
no disclosure or suggestion in Richman that the second integrated circuit is a monitoring station.  
Thus, Richman cannot be said to anticipate the present invention under Section 102.

In addition, Richman and the present application are each assigned of record to  
Agere Systems Inc. See, Reel/Frame 014537/0165 and 014553/0640, respectively. Richman  
15 qualifies as prior art only under Section 102(e). Thus, pursuant to Section 103(c)(1), Richman is  
not available as prior art under Section 103 (subject matter developed by another person, which  
qualifies as prior art only under one or more of subsections (e), (f), and (g) of section 102 of this  
title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed  
invention were, at the time the claimed invention was made, owned by the same person or  
20 subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person).

Thus, Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the Section 102 rejection.

Dependent Claims 2, 4-12 and 14-24

Dependent claims 2, 4-12 and 14-15 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as  
being anticipated by Richman. Claims 2, 4-12 and 14-15 are dependent on claims 1 and 13,  
25 respectively, and are therefore patentably distinguished over Richman, because of their  
dependency from amended independent claims 1 and 13 for the reasons set forth above, as well  
as other elements these claims add in combination to their base claim.

All of the pending claims, i.e., claims 1, 2, 4-14, and 16-25, are in condition for allowance and such favorable action is earnestly solicited.

If any outstanding issues remain, or if the Examiner has any further suggestions for expediting allowance of this application, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the telephone number indicated below.

The Examiner's attention to this matter is appreciated.

Respectfully submitted,



Date: January 2, 2008

Kevin M. Mason  
Attorney for Applicants  
Reg. No. 36,597  
Ryan, Mason & Lewis, LLP  
1300 Post Road, Suite 205  
Fairfield, CT 06824  
(203) 255-6560